

December 21, 2004 FWHN-FTMC-04-0193

Ms. Lydia Tadesse Contracting Officer US Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville P.O. Box 1600 Huntsville, AL 35807

SUBJECT: Final Site-Specific Work Plan for OE Site Characterization for M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties, Task Order 0001, Ordnance and Explosive Response at Fort McClellan, Alabama, Contract Number DACA87-99-D-0010

Dear Ms. Tadesse:

Tetra Tech FW, Inc. is pleased to submit the Final Site Specific Work Plan for OE Site Characterization for M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties (Task 3, Task order 0001). Copies are provided in accordance with the attached distribution list and the Scope of Work.

If you have any questions, concerning this submission please contact Todd Biggs, Task Order Manager, or me at (256) 820-7904.

Sincerely,

Arthur B. Holcomb, P.E.

Project Manager

Enclosures, as stated

CF: See Distribution List





#### **DISTRIBUTION**

#### **ADDRESSEE SUBMITTALS** Commander US Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville 6 Copies

ATTN: CEHNC-OE-DC (Mr. Dan Copeland) 4820 University Square

Huntsville, AL 35816-1822

Commander US Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville 1 Copies

ATTNL CEHNC-CT-E (Ms. Frances Steel) 4820 University Square Huntsville, AL 35816-1822

**Transition Force** 3 Copies +CD **US Army Garrison** 

ATTN: Ron Levy 291 Jimmy Parks Blvd. Fort McClellan, AL 36205-500

**ADEM** 3 Copies +1CD Alabama Department of Environmental Management ATTN: Shaner Decker 1400 Coliseum Blvd. Montgomery, AL 36110-2059

**Total Copies** 13



## **ADEM**

JAMES W. WARR

DIRECTOR (Acting)

December 10, 2004

### ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

POST OFFICE BOx 301483 38130-1463 • 1400 COLISEUM BLVD 36110-2059

MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA

WWW.ADEM.STATE.AL.US

WWW.ADEM.STATE.AL.US (334) 271-7700 BOB RILEY

BAN

Facsimiles: (334)

Administration; 271-7950 General Counzel: 394-4332 Air: 279-3044

Air: 279-3044 Land: 279-3050 Water: 279-3051 Groundwater: 279-5531 Field Operations: 272-8131 Laboratory: 277-6718

Laboratory: 277-671B Mining: 394-4326 Education/Outreech: 394-4383

CERTIFIED MAIL #7003 3110 0004 0269 3490 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Ronald M. Levy BRAC Environmental Coordinator Environmental Office, 291 Jimmy Parks Blvd. U.S. Army Garrison Fort McClellan, Alabama 36205

RE: ADEM Review Comments: Draft-Final Site Specific Work Plan OE Site Characterization for the M1.01 and M3 Miscellaneous Properties on Fort McClellan, dated December 2004; and the outstanding submittal entitled Final Site Specific Final Report M1.01 Parce, and M3 Miscellaneous Property Fort McClellan, Alabama, dated March 2003.

Fort McClellan, Alabama
Facility JD No. ALA 210 020 562
DSMOA Project No. 2525-223-0445

Dear Mr. Levy:

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM or the Department) has reviewed the subject workplan addressing the remaining Ordnance and Explosives (OE) work necessary to complete the characterization of the M1.01 and M3 land parcels at Fort McClellan. This left is presents ADEM's review comments on this most recent submittal.

ADEM began its review of the Final Site Specific Final Report M1.01 Parcel and 1/3 Miscellaneous Property Fort McClellan, Alabama some time ago. As a result of DSMOA fundin; deficiencies and other pending unexploded ordnance issues, ADEM was unable to complete its review and issue a letter citing specific comments. However, ADEM was able to summarize its comments for the Army's benefit in order to expedite the resolution of these parcels. On October 13, 2004, ADEM met with representatives of the Army to discuss what could be done to help resolve ADEM's outstanding concerns regarding insufficient characterization and quality control issues related to historical UXO work at the M1.01 and M3 parcels. The purpose of this meeting was to determine what amount of additional work may be required to resolve ADEM's concerns regarding UXO issues at these parcels. The Army's submittal of the subject December 2004 workplan resulted as an action item from the October 13, 2004 meeting.

The Department's comments on the December 2004 workplan are intended to resolve any remaining UXO concerns at these parcels and hence, to resolve the Final Site Specific Final Report M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Property Fort McClellan, Alabama, March 2003 report. The Department's comments are presented as an attachment to this letter.

#### Mr. Ron Levy December 10, 2004

Should you have any questions, contact Mr. David Bush at (334) 271-7789 or via e-nail at <a href="mailto:cdb@adem.state.al.us">cdb@adem.state.al.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Stephen A. Cobb, Chief

Governmental Hazardous Waste Branch

Land Division

SAC/JWG/DB/mal

#### Attachment

cc: Mr. Dan Cleckler/Joint Powers Authority

Ms. Shana Decker/ADEM

Mr. Jim Grassiano/ADEM

Ms. Miki Schneider/JPA

Mr. LaBarron Rudolph/ADEM

Ms. Brandi Little/ADEM

File:Land Div/Hazardous Waste/Fort McClellan/AL4210020562/Correspondence/2004

#### **ADEM Review Comments**

Draft Final Site Specific Work Plan OE Site Characteriza ion for M1.01 and M3 Miscellaneous Properties, dated December 3, 2004 Fort McClellan, Alabama

#### Comments

- 1. Fort McClellan states that the main objective of this Task Order is to investigate six grids to evaluate the appropriateness of the one foot clearance (paragraph 1.2.1 and 1.2.1) completed in July 2002. The statement of objective should be clarified to indicate that: "he Task Order is intended to demonstrate to ADEM that a one foot clearance was an appropr ate UXO remedy. ADEM is requesting the work outlined in this Task Order because the one foot clearance is presently based on the Army's assumption that all UXO are present in the top one foot of soil and this Task Order will provide a more in-depth look at the potential for UXO of be present at depths greater than one foot. The Task Order will yield an intrusive investigation of confirm whether or not there are any UXO items present at any depth within the six identified prids."
- 2. Throughout the document (e.g., paragraphs 4.1.2 and 6.3.2), the Army indicates that its contractors may work under the assumption that there is presently believed to be no the at of encountering a UXO item. The submittal should be revised to state that the potential exist for encountering a UXO item. Therefore, all personnel should be briefed on the possibility of encountering OE/UXO items while performing field work on this job. The revised plan should reference the need for daily safety briefings.
- 3. The Army states that a geophysical prove out (GPO) will not be performed (paragraph 2.4.1) but that it will perform daily testing of its geophysical system via a test grid. The Department requests specific information about the proposed or existing geophysical test grid. Specifically, please clarify how the test grid will be used to ensure the collection of accurate grophysical data and how the test grid may be used, if applicable, to aid in identifying a proposed list of anomalies that are to be reacquired (i.e., how this information will aid the geophysicists to accurately interpret the data).
- 4. ADEM requests that the geophysical data be provided to ADEM for the si; grids and that ADEM have an opportunity to review the proposed list of anomalies that are to be re-aquired. The Department requests that the Army provide both the raw geophysical data and the corrected data in the final report (paragraph 6.13.2).
- 5. The Army states that the size of the target item(s) should be between 37m n round and a 3 inch Stokes Mortar (paragraph 6.2.1). The basis of this proposed requirement is unclear and unacceptable to ADEM. The appropriate target item(s) should be 20mm or greater as well as any other munition historically fired at Fort McClellan during range training.
- 6. Please clarify the duties of the site geophysicists and the home office geophysicists (paragraph 6.12.1 and 6.12.2).

signals, whose origin may be unknown and/or questionable. The complete anomaly selection of these six hence provide supportable evidence of the reliability of the test grid and overall gec shysical test system. Note: For the intrusive investigation of the M1.01 and M3 properties, the Departm nt anticipates that, anomalies for intrusive investigation. The additional anomalies shall be chosen bas d on lower priority after the geophysical team selects the anomalies for intrusive investigation, the geof hysicists will then grids will aid in demonstrating the validity of the UXO status of the entire M1.01 and M3 parcels and select an additional percentage (perhaps 10 percent, but this is to be determined) of he total recorded

# Response to ADEM Review Comments Draft Final Site Specific Work Plan for OE Characterization for M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties

- 1. Concur. The objective within this work plan will be changed as requested by ADEM to indicate that the Task Order is intended to demonstrate to ADEM that a one foot clearance was an appropriate UXO remedy for this area.
- 2. It is the Army's position that the M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties have been cleared in an appropriate manner and a signed statement of clearance has been issued. The teams working in this area have all had the base safety briefing that ensures all personnel understand that this was an Army base and UXO items could be found anywhere. In addition, all personnel doing the intrusive work under this task will be UXO qualified personnel. The site wide work plan requires a daily safety brief (referenced in this site specific work plan) and it will be carried out every morning at 6:00 A.M. prior to going to the field.
- 3. The purpose of a Geophysical Prove-Out (GPO) is to select the equipment to use in a geophysical survey. In this case we have proven that the best instrument for this work is the EM-61. What we intend to do is to validate that the system works using the existing test grid as the basis for this test. The actual equipment to be used (EM-61 and Constellation) and the team members will all be validated through this test prior to any data being collected in the M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties. The site geophysicist will process the data from the test grid, compare the results to the known items in the grid and determine if the equipment and team are working properly. Once he has determined this is occurring the team will begin the process of geophysically surveying the six grids in the M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties. The Army will provide the data collected at the test grid as well as the information of known items within the test grid for a comparison in the final report.
- 4. It is the contractors intent to begin reacquire and intrusive operations as soon as possible after the geophysical data is available from the geophysicist. ADEM is encouraged to have a representative present during all phases of this process and will be provided the data as it is available, however, the contractor will not wait for input from ADEM to continue with reacquire and intrusive operations. The contractor will also provide all raw geophysical data on CD to ADEM after the field work is completed.
- 5. The failure criteria of a 37mm to 3 inch Stokes was chosen based on a review of historical uses of the area and the data collected around the M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties. This included data from the ASR, the Eastern Bypass EE/CA, the M2 Parcel and the previous one foot clearance in the M1.01 Parcel and M3 Miscellaneous Properties. A database search has shown that no 20mm have been found on Ft. McClellan to date and historically were not used on this installation.
- 6. Concur. Will add verbiage to explain the duties of the site and home office geophysicists.

Note: Concur. The contractor will randomly select 10% of all "no dig" anomalies for excavation. The anomalies will be picked by the database manager. Neither the intrusive team or the site geophysicist will have knowledge that these picks were made until after the intrusive results are reported.

PROJECT Draft Final Site Specific W  SAFETY SYSTEMS ENG  ADV TECH VALUE ENG  ESTIMATING OTHER  COMMENT  COMMENT  Ssuming all anomalies detected within the target those believed to be below 1 foot.	PROJECT  ICAL SAFETY HNOLOGY DADY TECH CAL DESTIMATING DNTROLS DESCRICATIONS COMMENT  Responses assuming all anomalies I dug, not just those believed to be	CORPS OF ENGINEERS	/P for M1.01 Characterization - FMC CN: 12-031-04 S: 13 Dec 04	REVIEW Draft Final Site Specific WP for M1.01  DATE 9 DEC 04  NAME Suzanne Murdock		let box are Concur					
NG REVIEW DATE NAME hin the target box are Concur	PROJECT Draft Final Site Specific WP for MI.01 Character  SAFETY SYSTEMS ENG REVIEW  SAFETY O'ALUE ENG DATE  COMMENT  COMMENT  Sea assuming all anomalies detected within the target box are tjust those believed to be below 1 foot.	CORPS OF		Draft Final Site Specific WP for N 9 DEC 04 Suzanne Murdock	ACTION						
PROJECT Draft Final Site Specific WP for M1.01  SAFETY SYSTEMS ENG ADV TECH VALUE ENG DA COMMENT COMMENT COMMENT Ssuming all anomalies detected within the target box are those believed to be below 1 foot.	PROJECT SAFETY ADV TECH SPECIFICATIONS COMMENT es assuming all anomalies t just those believed to be		Character	VIEW TE		Concur					
lacksquare	JMMENTS    MECHANICAL   MFG TECHNOLOGY   ELECTRICAL   INST & CONTROLS     INST & CONTROLS   Selected and dug, not ju		,	SAFETY SYSTEMS ENG ADV TECH VALUE ENG ESTIMATING OTHER	COMMENT	assuming all anomalies detected within the target box are ist those believed to be below 1 foot.					
BESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS    SITE DEV & GEO   MECHAN     SITE DEV & GEO   MECHAN     STRUCTURAL   ELECTRIC     ARCHITECTURAL   ELECTRIC     OR REFERENCE   Selected and selected	ie - im mami   m	U.S. ARI	DESI			<del>-</del> -	 ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>		

U.S.A	RMY ENGINEER D	U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DIVISION HUNTSVILLE			CORPS OF ENGINEERS
DES	DESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS	OMMENTS	PROJECT	M1.01 and M3 Miscellaneous Properties on Ft. McClellan, AL	irties on Ft. McClellan, AL 104ALFTMC01SAM
	SITE DEV & GEO ENVIR PROT& UTIL ARCHITECTURAL	☐ MECHANICAL ☐ MFG TECHNOLOGY ☐ ELECTRICAL	SAFETY  ADV TECH  ESTIMATING	SYSTEMS ENG RE  VALUE ENG DA  OTHER	REVIEW Back check of SSWP Cont# 12-031-04 DATE 12-09-04 S: 13 Dec 04
	STRUCTURAL				NAME Randall M Kaehne/OES/759-0429
ITEM	DRAWING NO. OR REFERENCE		COMMENT	1 L	ACTION
<del>-</del>	Para 4.1.2 & Appx G	A Statement of Clearance from USAC claim or guarantee that 100 percent of removed from the area. The previous Intrusive investigation on this site will to sossible that OE/UXO/CWM was not and remains in the first one foot.	nce from USACE base 100 percent of hazard. The previous clearar on this site will be throu D/CWM was not detect	A Statement of Clearance from USACE based on a previous clearance does not claim or guarantee that 100 percent of hazardous OE/UXO CWM has been removed from the area. The previous clearance was to a depth of one foot. Intrusive investigation on this site will be through and below the one foot depth. It is possible that OE/UXO/CWM was not detected during the previous clearance and remains in the first one foot.	Work zone with exclusion requiring visitor clearance has been established.
		A Most Probable Munition (MPM) needs to references need to be added to this SSWP	on (MPM) needs to be added to this SSWP.	A Most Probable Munition (MPM) needs to be established and all associated references need to be added to this SSWP.	
<del> </del>					
ői –	Para 6.2.1 & Para 11.2.4.1	The acceptance criteria is in accordance with the current SOW.	is in accordance with	the current SOW.	
		*			
		ACTION CODES A - ACCEPTED/CONCUR D - ACTION DEFERRED	W - N N - N VE -	WITHDRAWN NON-CONCUR VE POTENTIAL/VEP ATTACHED	
CEHNE 15 Apr	CEHND FORM 7 (Revised) 15 Apr 89	(1	PREVIOUS EDITIONS	PREVIOUS EDITIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE	PAGE 1 OF 1

1 10,40	THE ICOGO BOY MOOF SITE OF SHOULD STOWN ABOUT THE ICOGO.	CEHND FORM 7 (Revised)	CEHNE
	ACTION CODES W - WITHDRAWN A - ACCEPTED/CONCUR D - ACTION DEFERRED VE - VE POTENTIAL/VEP ATTACHED		
			*****
	All other previous comments have been incorporated.		7
	A process fin d geophysical estigation pr		
	size of between a 37 mm and a 3" Stor." However, this is really the crietermining that the previous 1 foot ance was not sufficient.		
Concur - No changes in Work Plan required.	I understand that the SOW says "The QC failure criteria for this area shall be any item below a depth of 1 foot that meets the specified target	QC/QA Failure	₽
ACTION		DRAWING NO. OR REFERENCE	ITEM
DATE 13 December 2004  NAME Michelle Crull, PhD, PE (256) 895-1653	☐ ESTIMATING ☐ OTHER ☐ SPECIFICATIONS	ARCHITECTURAL STRUCTURAL	
_   ≽	MEGHANICAL SAFETY SYSTEMS ENG MEG TECHNOLOGY NOT ADVITED VALUE ENG	SITE DEV & GEO ENVIR PROT& UTIL	
CN 12-031-04, Ft. McClellan, M1.01 Parcel, WP for Confirmatory Investigation	PROJECT	DESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS	DES
CORPS OF ENGINEERS	U. S. ARMY ENGINEERING & SUPPORT CENTER, HUNTSVILLE	RMY ENGINEERIN	U. S. Al

PAGE .

15 Apr 89